A Joint Optimization for Dynamic Federated Learning in UAV-aided Digital Twin Vehicular Networks

2023 - CCL Research Progress Seminar

Giang Pham May 17, 2023

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. System Model
- 3. Problem Formulation
- 4. Network Optimization
- 5. Simulation Results
- 6. Unfinished Work

Introduction

Digital Twin (DT)

- An intelligent system, digitally replicate a physical object (PO) on the cloud or MEC server [7]
- To model, analyze, predict, optimize PO during its life cycle
- Consist of 3 parts:
 - a PO (robot, car, complex system, ...)
 - virtual twin of PO
 - connection bw. PO and their twin
- 2 connection types: (i) physical-to-twin, (ii) twin-to-physical



Figure 1: Digital twin concept

Digital Twin Vehicular Networks (DTVN)

- DT models of the road, traffic states, parking space, vehicle's movement status (speed, direction, ...)
- Related applications [5]:
 - Road planning according to weather, and traffic conditions
 - Smart parking in free spaces by the information the parking DT
 - Vehicle diagnosis from real-time status of autonomous vehicles
 - Personalized service recommendations as recommending food, entertainments
- Making the connection between the twins to form a DTVN



Figure 2: Digital twin vehicular network

Federated Learning (FL)

- A distributed manner to model the twin: users (UE) send locally trained model parameters instead of raw data (the centralized manner) to the server
- Compared with the centralized manner:
 - Reduce the risk of data leakage, preserve user privacy
 - Reduce communication burden
- FL is an iterative procedure: (i) UEs receive the initial model parameters w₀ from BS → (ii) UEs locally train the model based on it own data to get w_k → (iii) Users send the w_k to BS server → (iv) BS aggregate (average) the model w₀ → (v) BS broadcast w₀ to UEs



Figure 3: Federated learning procedure [8]

To guarantee the QoS of DTVN, 2 requirements:

- Archive the global accuracy ε_0 of the twin model
- Within the target time duration $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

Trade-off between time and energy consumption

Our work objective:

Minimize the energy consumption while satisfing the global accuracy ϵ_0 and target time requirement τ of the FL process to construct DTVN

Due to the frequent data exchange *(model parameters)* bw. UEs and BS, the communications issue can be a bottleneck in the FL process Other done work:

- [6]: cooperative relay of FL computing nodes, minimize the loss and energy consumption with time constraint
- [9]: cooperative relay energy energy efficiency with maximize amount of transmission data with minimize the energy with intensive relay
- [8]: formula i, n energy efficient with formula of rounds in static network
- [1]: formula i, n client selection to maximize selected clients while minimizing energy and satisfying time constraint
- [4]: formula i, n minimize weighted sum of time and energy, with transmission time modelled as packet delay

Our proposal:

- Dynamic network where CSI changes due to the moving of vehicles
- Deploy UAV as a relay node to eliminate the impact of the communication issue
- Dynamic update formula of *i*, *n*



System Model

Scenario: Constructing the DT vehicular network by FL

- A base station BS with integrated MEC server
- *K* moving VEHs on the road, high density at the intersection, the road is at the edge of BS's coverage area
- A relay node UAV, fixed hovering near the intersection



Figure 4: UAV-aided digital twin vehicular networks

Federated Learning Model

- Data:
 - Each VEH has a local dataset \mathcal{D}_k with size D_k data samples

• $\mathcal{D}_k = \{\mathbf{x}_{kl}, y_{kl}\}_{l=1}^{D_k}$, $\mathbf{x}_{kl} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with d: dimension of input data

- FL model:
 - Local FL loss function:

$$F_k(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{D_k} \sum_{l=1}^{D_k} f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{kl}, y_{kl})$$
(1)

• Global FL training problem - optimize the global model

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} F(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{D_k}{D} F_k(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{D_k} f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_{kl}, y_{kl})$$
(2)

But each VEH has only a subset of the data, how to find the global model that generalizes well for all VEHs?

Adding a surrogate term to the original local loss function ¹

$$\min_{\mathbf{h}_{k}} G_{k}(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{h}_{k}) \triangleq F_{k}(\mathbf{w}^{(n)} + \mathbf{h}_{k}) - \langle \nabla F_{k}(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}) - \xi \nabla F(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}), \mathbf{h}_{k} \rangle$$
(3)

(Like the form of Taylor approximation of original local loss function ²)

- ξ : weight factor of global gradient
- $\mathbf{w}^{(n)}$: optimal global model params at iteration n
- $\mathbf{w}^{(n)} + \mathbf{h}_k$: optimal local model params at iteration n + 1

¹A surrogate model is an engineering method used when an outcome of interest cannot be easily measured or computed, so an approximate mathematical model of the outcome is used instead. Wikipedia ²Taylor approximation $f(x) \approx f(a) + f'(x)(x - a)$

Federated Learning Algorithm - Local Optimization

 At global round n, VEH minimizes the local loss by stochastic gradient descent (SGD) for convex loss function (SGD extensions: Adam, Adagrad, ... avoid trapped at local minima of non-convex)

$$\mathbf{h}_{k}^{(n),(i+1)} = \mathbf{h}_{k}^{(n),(i)} - \delta \nabla G_{k}(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{h}_{k}^{(n),(i)}), \delta: \text{ learning rate}$$
(4)



Figure 5: Convex function

Figure 6: Non-convex function

• Convergence if reaches the local accuracy η $G_k(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{h}_k^{(n),(i)}) - G_k(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{h}_k^{(n),*}) = \eta(G_k(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}, 0) - G_k(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}, \mathbf{h}_k^{(n),*}))$ (5) • Aggregate the model parameters, global gradient:

$$\mathbf{w}^{(n)} = \mathbf{w}^{(n-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{D_k}{D} \mathbf{h}_k^{(n),*}$$

$$\nabla F(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{D_k}{D} \nabla F_k(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})$$
(7)

- Broadcast $\mathbf{w}^{(n)}$; $\nabla F(\mathbf{w}^{(n)})$ to all VEHs for the next round
- Convergence if reaches the global accuracy ε_0

$$F(\mathbf{w}^{(n)}) - F(\mathbf{w}^*) = \epsilon_0(F(\mathbf{w}^{(0)}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{(*)}))$$
(8)

Problem Formulation

Local Training & Model Parameters Transmission

Our objective: Joint learning and communication resource allocation to minimize the energy while satisfying the DTVN's QoS

	Energy	Time
Comp.	$e_k^{cp} = \kappa C_k D_k f_k^2$	$t_k^{\sf cp} = rac{C_k D_k}{f_k}$
Coms.	$e_k^{ m co}=p_kt_k^{co}$	$t_k^{co} = \frac{s_k \ln(2)}{B \ln(1 + \frac{\rho_k h_k}{N_0})} + x_k \delta_t$
Total	$e_k = n(e_k^{\rm co} + i \times e_k^{\rm cp})$	$t_k = n(t_k^{\rm co} + i \times t_k^{\rm cp})$

- *i*, *n*: # local rounds , # global rounds to reach η , ϵ_0 $i = v \log_2(\frac{1}{\eta}), n = \frac{a}{1-\eta}, v = \frac{2}{(2-L\delta)\delta\gamma}, a = \frac{2L^2}{\gamma^2 \xi} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}$ [8] *L*-Lipschitz, γ -strongly convex characteristic of convex loss function
- δ_t : penalty time *if* choosing UAV
- η , f_k , p_k , x_k : optimization variables $x_k = 1$ if choosing UAV else 0 $h_k = (1 - x_k)h_k^u + x_kh_k^r$

Target Latency Requirement?

- *i*, *n*: to guarantee the target global accuracy ϵ_0
 - How about the target latency: $t_k = n(t_k^{co} + i \times t_k^{cp}) \le \tau$? t_k^{co} varies because of VEHs' movement \Rightarrow How to guarantee? (CSI changes in each global round)
- Our idea: Solve the optimization problem *at the beginning of each round instead of the first round*
 - if the bad network condition (long t^{co}_k), we increase the local computation (but also increase e^{cp}_k)
 - if the good network condition (short t_k^{co}), we decrease the local computation (and also decrease e_k^{cp})

However: *n* is to meet the target ϵ_0 of the whole FL *How to derive n in our idea?*

Dynamic Global Accuracy Update

For the whole FL process with the desired accuracy ϵ_0 :

$$F(\mathbf{w}^n) - F(\mathbf{w}^*) = \epsilon_0(F(\mathbf{w}^0) - F(\mathbf{w}^*)) \quad (simplified!)$$

and at each global round:

$$0: F(\mathbf{w}^{1}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}) = \epsilon_{1}(F(\mathbf{w}^{0}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}))$$

$$1: F(\mathbf{w}^{2}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}) = \epsilon_{2}(F(\mathbf{w}^{1}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*})), \dots$$

$$n - 2: F(\mathbf{w}^{n-1}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}) = \epsilon_{n-1}(F(\mathbf{w}^{n-2}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*})),$$

$$n - 3: F(\mathbf{w}^{n}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}) = \epsilon_{n}(F(\mathbf{w}^{n-1}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}))$$

$$\Rightarrow F(\mathbf{w}^{n}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}) = \epsilon_{n}\epsilon_{n-1}\dots\epsilon_{2}\epsilon_{1}(F(\mathbf{w}^{n-1}) - F(\mathbf{w}^{*}))$$
(Mathematical induction)

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_n \epsilon_{n-1} \dots \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$$

Problem Formulation

We solve the optimization at the beginning of each global round 3 :

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{\eta, \{f_k, x_k, p_k\}_{k=1}^{K} \\ \text{s.t.}}} & \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} n\left(e_k^{\text{co}} + i \times e_k^{\text{cp}}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & n\left(t_k^{\text{co}} + i \times t_k^{\text{cp}}\right) \leq \tau, \\ & 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \\ & 0 \leq f_k \leq f_k^{\max}, \forall k, \\ & x_k = \{0, 1\}, \forall k, \\ & \sum_k x_k = N_0, \\ & 0 \leq p_k \leq p_k^{\max}, \forall k \end{split}$$

in which, $n = \frac{a}{1-\eta}$, $a = \frac{2t^2}{\gamma^2 \xi} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon_{(n)}}$; $\tau = \tau - t_{(n-1)}$ (remaining time), and $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_{(1)} \dots \epsilon_{(n-1)} \epsilon_{(n)}$

³For simplicity, we drop the superscript (n), which means at global round n

Choosing Dynamic Global Accuracy Update Model

How to choose $\epsilon_{(0)}, \epsilon_{(1)}, \ldots, \epsilon_{(n-1)}, \epsilon_{(n)}$? (temp. global accuracy)





Figure 7: Landscape of loss function

Figure 8: Exponential decay

 $\Rightarrow \text{ We can model the dynamic behavior as decay rate:} \\ \epsilon_{(1)} = \alpha^{0} \epsilon_{(0)}, \epsilon_{(2)} = \alpha^{1} \epsilon_{(0)}, \dots, \epsilon_{(n-1)} = \alpha^{n-2} \epsilon_{(0)}, \epsilon_{(n)} = \alpha^{n-1} \epsilon_{(0)} \\ \hline \epsilon_{(1)} \dots \epsilon_{(n-1)} \epsilon_{(n)} = \alpha^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}} (\epsilon_{(0)})^{n} = \epsilon_{0}, \alpha > 1$

, \Rightarrow We can choose the suitable $\epsilon_{(0)}, \alpha$

Network Optimization

Problem decomposition

We have a joint learning and communication resource allocation:

Fixed (f^{*}_k, x^{*}_k, p^{*}_k), optimize η - Learning optimization (LO) (A_k = vC_kD_k):

$$\min_{\eta} \quad \frac{\mathsf{a}}{1-\eta} \left(\sum_{k} \mathsf{e}_{k}^{\mathsf{co}} + \sum_{k} \frac{\kappa A_{k} f_{k}^{2}}{\ln 2} \ln(1/\eta) \right) \tag{9a}$$

s.t.
$$T_k = \frac{a}{1-\eta} \left(t_k^{co} + \frac{A_k}{f_k \ln 2} \ln(1/\eta) \right) \le \tau, \forall k,$$
 (9b)

$$0 \le \eta \le 1$$
 (9c)

• Fixed η^* , optimize (f_k, x_k, p_k) - Resource allocation (RA):

$$\min_{\substack{\{f_k, x_k, p_k\}_{k=1}^K \\ \text{s.t.}}} \sum_k \left(p_k \left[\frac{(\ln 2)^{s_n/B}}{\ln (1 + \frac{p_k h_k}{N_0})} + x_k \delta_t \right] + \kappa A_k \log_2(1/\eta) f_k^2 \right) \quad (10a)$$
s.t.
$$\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{s_n/B}}{(1 + \frac{p_k h_k}{N_0})} + x_k \delta_t \right) + A_k \log_2(1/\eta) \frac{1}{\tau} \leq \frac{\tau}{2}, \forall k, \quad (10b)$$

$$\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{s_n/B}}{\ln\left(1+\frac{p_kh_k}{N_0}\right)}+x_k\delta_t\right)+A_k\log_2(1/\eta)\frac{1}{f_k}\leq\frac{\tau}{n},\forall k,\quad(10b)$$

$$0 \le f_k \le f_k^{\max}, 0 \le p_k \le p_k^{\max}, \tag{10c}$$

$$x_k = \{0, 1\}, \sum_k x_k \le N_0 \tag{10d}$$

We iteratively solve these 2 subproblems until convergence.

17/27

Learning Optimization

Denote
$$a_f^e = a \sum_k \frac{\kappa A_k f_k^2}{\ln 2}$$
, $b_f^e = a \sum_k e_k^{co}$, $a_f^t = a t_k^{co}$, $b_f^t = a \frac{A_k}{f_k \ln 2}$, we rewrite LO:

$$\min_{\eta} \quad \frac{b_f^e + a_f^e \ln\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)}{1 - \eta} \tag{11a}$$

s.t.
$$T_k = \frac{b_f^t + a_f^t \ln(1/\eta)}{1 - \eta} \le \tau, \forall k,$$
 (11b)

$$0 \le \eta \le 1$$
 (11c)

Both 11a, 11b are in the same form, convex \rightarrow solve iteratively in 2 steps:

- S1: Bound tightening of 11b by solving Larmbert-W⁴ of $T_k = \tau$ $\eta^{min} = \max_k W_0(z_k), \ \eta^{max} = \min_k W_{-1}(z_k)$ with $z_k = -\frac{tau}{a_t^r} \exp(\frac{b_t^{p} - tau}{a_t^r})$
- S2: Convex function 11a, which has a fractional form We use Dinkelbach method

⁴Larmbert-W function: $we^w = z$ holds iff $w = W_k(z)$, k: branch number

Resource Allocation - Frequency & Power Optimization

With fixed x_k^* , RA is a frequency and power optimization (FPO) written as

$$\min_{\substack{f_k, p_k\}_{k=1}^K}} \sum_k \left(p_k \left[\frac{(\ln 2)^{s_n/B}}{\ln (1 + \frac{p_k h_k}{N_0})} + \Delta_t \right] + \kappa i C_n D_n f_k^2 \right)$$
(12a)
s.t.
$$\left(\frac{(\ln 2)^{s_n/B}}{\ln (1 + \frac{p_k h_k}{N_0})} + \Delta_t \right) + i C_n D_n \frac{1}{f_k} \le \frac{\tau}{n}, \forall k,$$
(12b)
$$0 \le f_k \le f_k^{\max}, 0 \le p_k \le p_k^{\max}$$
(12c)

with $\Delta_t = x_k \delta_t$. Denote $a = \ln (2)s_n/B$, $b = \frac{h_k}{N_0}$, $c = iC_n D_n$, $\tau' = \tau/n - \Delta t$, substitute $z = \frac{1}{\ln (1+bp_k)}$, $t = 1/f_k$, we transform FPO for each k as

$$\min_{f_k, p_k} \quad \frac{a}{b} \left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{z} - 1\right)z + \frac{\kappa c}{t^2} \right)$$
(13a)

s.t.
$$az + ct = \tau'$$
, (13b)

$$z \ge z_{\min}, t \ge t_{\min}$$
 (13c)

with $z_{\min} = \frac{1}{\ln(1+bp_k^{\max})}$, $t = 1/f_k^{\max}$. This is a linear constrained convex optimization. We solve by primal-dual interior-point method [2] with customized normalization.

With fixed (f_k^*, p_k^*) , relay node selection (RS) is an integer optimization.

• Step 1: $\{x_k=1\}_k$, solve FPO ightarrow get $\{f_k^{*,\mathsf{uav}}, p_k^{*,\mathsf{uav}}\}_k$, $e_k^{*,\mathsf{uav}}$

• Step 2:
$$\{x_k=0\}_k$$
, solve FPO \rightarrow get $\{f_k^{*,\mathrm{bs}},p_k^{*,\mathrm{bs}}\}_k$, $e_k^{*,\mathrm{bs}}$

• Step 3: Select x_k that gives smaller e_k while satisfying $\sum_k x_k \leq N_0$

We solve RS iteratively until convergence

Simulation Results

Simulation Settings

- Dataset MNIST: a handwritten dataset including numbers 0 9 [3]
 - Subsample MNIST & distribute it to each VEHs to simulate the heterogeneous network (niid data). Each VEH have only 3 labels (a part of the data), i.e, user 0 (number 0, 1, 2), user 1 (1, 2, 3), ...
 - Take 80% of # samples as training set & 20% for testing set. train_data[#samples] = [138, 67, 109, 185, 91, 94, 73, 107, 76, 220], sum = 1160

 $\textit{test_data}[\#\textit{samples}] = [35, 17, 28, 47, 23, 24, 19, 27, 19, 55], \textit{sum} = 294$

• Network parameters:

K = 10	$(x_{uav}, y_{uav}, z_{uav}) = (200, 220, 100)m$	$\epsilon_0 = 1e-3$
$N_0 = 5$	$(x_{\rm bs}, y_{\rm bs}, z_{\rm bs}) = (0, -500, 0)m$	$\xi = 1$
$\delta_{1} = 0$	$(de_r, de_u) = (2.9, 2.3)$	L = 5
$o_t = 0$	path loss exponent of bs, uav	
$p_k^{\max} = 0.1 W$	$C_n = 1.5 * 1e4$	$\gamma = 3$
$f_k^{\max} = 2GHz$	$\kappa = 1e-28$	$s_n = 0.3Mb$

FL Performance

We consider 4 scenarios:

- bs-fixedi: (1): bs, fixed # local rounds *i*
- bs-dyni: (2): bs, dynamic # local rounds i
- bs-uav-fixedi: (3): bs, uav, fixed # local rounds i
- bs-uav-dyni: (4): bs, uav, dyn # local rounds *i* (*our proposal!*)

Results:

- All converged at train accuracy 85.7%, train loss 0.3, test loss 0.5
- Accuracy of (4) gradually approaches (1), (2), (3)



Figure 9: Convergence of FL

Network Optimization Performance





• With $\tau = 40s$, (4) give smallest energy within the required target time.

Classification Results Visualization



Figure 10: Classification results denote as the above numbers, in red: incorrectly classified data (of VEHs k = 0, 1, 6)

Results:

• Each VEH has only a subset of data, but FL generalizes well for all VEHs.

Classification Results Visualization (cont.)



Figure 11: Label of data

Figure 12: Classified results

Figure 13: Visualize the classification result by t-SNE method ⁶, size = 3: incorrect classified data

⁵t-SNE method: a dimensionality reduction method to visualize high-dimensinal data

Unfinished Work

- Showing the impact of choosing decay value, is there any other decay form?
- Appropriate value δ_t

References

- Rana Albelaihi et al. "Green Federated Learning via Energy-Aware Client Selection". In: GLOBECOM 2022 - 2022 IEEE Global Communications Conference. 2022, pp. 13–18. DOI: 10.1109/GLOBECOM48099.2022.10001569.
- [2] Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe. Convex optimization. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- [3] Li Deng. "The mnist database of handwritten digit images for machine learning research". In: IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 29.6 (2012), pp. 141–142.
- [4] Yulan Gao et al. "Multi-Resource Allocation for On-Device Distributed Federated Learning Systems". In: GLOBECOM 2022-2022 IEEE Global Communications Conference. IEEE. 2022, pp. 160–165.
- [5] Chao He et al. "Security and Privacy in Vehicular Digital Twin Networks: Challenges and Solutions". In: IEEE Wireless Communications (2022).
- [6] Peichun Li et al. "FedRelay: Federated Relay Learning for 6G Mobile Edge Intelligence". In: IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 72.4 (2023), pp. 5125–5138. DOI: 10.1109/TVT.2022.3225087.
- [7] Fengxiao Tang et al. "Survey on digital twin edge networks (DITEN) toward 6G". In: IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society 3 (2022), pp. 1360–1381.
- [8] Zhaohui Yang et al. "Energy efficient federated learning over wireless communication networks". In: IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications 20.3 (2020), pp. 1935–1949.
- Xinyue Zhang et al. "Energy Efficient Federated Learning over Cooperative Relay-Assisted Wireless Networks". In: GLOBECOM 2022-2022 IEEE Global Communications Conference. IEEE. 2022, pp. 179–184.

Thank you for your attention.